## BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, WESTERN ZONE BENCH, PUNE

## APPLICATION NO. 21/2013

Akhil Bharat Krishi Goseva Sanga (Mumbai) Vs MPCB & Ors

CORAM: HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE V.R. KINGAONKAR, JUDICIAL MEMBER

HON'BLE DR. AJAY A. DESHPANDE, EXPERT MEMBER

Applicant/ Appellant : None Appeared Respondent No.2 : Sameer Khale Adv Respondent No.3 : Supriya Dangare Adv

Respondent No.3 : Supriya Dangare Adv	
Date and	Orders of the Tribunal
Remarks	
Item No. 1	1 A 7 A
August 7, 2014	Heard.
Order No.7	Learned Counsel, Mr. Sammer Khale, for the Respondent No.2 invited
	our attention to the affidavit of Sanjay Deshmukh, Additional Municipal
	Commissioner of the Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai (MCGM). He
	also invited our attention to the affidavit of regional officer of the MPCB,
4	namely; Raju Vasa <mark>ve.</mark>
	On instructions of the officer of MCGM, learned Counsel for the
	Respondent No.2 states that if directed by this Tribunal, the MCGM is ready to
	deposit required amount with the Executing machinery, namely; the Bhabha
1	Atomic Research Centre (BARC), Mumbai, for the purpose of installation of
11	bio-methanation plant (for Type –I and Type-II,) with feasibility of processing
	of was <mark>tes in Deo</mark> nar abattoir. It appears that proposal for installation of bio-
	methanation plant is being accepted by the Senior Scientist of BARC on behalf
11	of BARC and estimated cost is Rs.4.5 Crores along with overheads of
11 11	incidental work. Considering the nature of work, we direct that the Respondent

We further direct that formalities from approval from the Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) may be dispensed with at the present in view of the fact that the MPCB has given go ahead for such project, and CPCB may be asked to pursue the matter at its own level and if so required, during meantime, the MCGM may issue reminder to the CPCB with a request to give approval with further clear understanding that if no such approval is given within one (1) month and if the operation of bio-methanation plant is stalled or installation work is stalled due to such procedural cobweb, coercive action will be taken against the Authority (Member Secretary of CPCB, New Delhi). The Registry of the Tribunal may also write separate letter to the Member Secretary along with copy of this order, in order to appraise him about seriousness of the issue in question.

No.2, (MCGM), to immediately get consent letter from the BARC for acceptance of the work in question, deposit estimated costs of Rs.4.5 Crores along with additional amount of Rs.0.5 Crores being tentative costs of overhead charges i.e. in total Rs.5 Crores, for the purpose of installation of bio-

methanation plant at Deonar abattoir, as directed by the Tribunal.

Acceptance letter of the BARC may be secured within one (1) week Item No. 1 August 7, 2014 hereafter and the amount of Rs.5 Crores be deposited by the MCGM with the Order No.7 office of BARC within period of four (4) weeks and The acceptance letter shall be immediately emailed to the Registry of this Tribunal, if the same is received. As far as directions regarding suspension of Export Licence is concerned, stay order issued by the Apex Court continues and therefore in this regard question of execution stands suspended. Stand over to 16th September, 2014. (Justice V. R. Kingaonkar) (Dr. Ajay A. Deshpande)